

University of Groningen

## Dark Satellites and the Morphology of Dwarf Galaxies

Helmi, Amina; Sales, L. V.; Starkenburg, E.; Starkenburg, T. K.; Vera-Ciro, C.; De Lucia, G.; Li, Y. -S.

*Published in:*  
Astrophysical Journal Letters

*DOI:*  
[10.1088/2041-8205/758/1/L5](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/758/1/L5)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Helmi, A., Sales, L. V., Starkenburg, E., Starkenburg, T. K., Vera-Ciro, C., De Lucia, G., & Li, Y. -S. (2012). Dark Satellites and the Morphology of Dwarf Galaxies. *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 758(1), [5]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/758/1/L5>

### **Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### **Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

## DARK SATELLITES AND THE MORPHOLOGY OF DWARF GALAXIES

AMINA HELMI<sup>1</sup>, L. V. SALES<sup>2</sup>, E. STARKENBURG<sup>1,3</sup>, T. K. STARKENBURG<sup>1</sup>, C. A. VERA-CIRO<sup>1</sup>, G. DE LUCIA<sup>4</sup>, AND Y.-S. LI<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands; [ahelmi@astro.rug.nl](mailto:ahelmi@astro.rug.nl)

<sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada

<sup>4</sup> INAF-Astronomical Observatory of Trieste, via G.B. Tiepolo 11, I-34143 Trieste, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Received 2012 June 8; accepted 2012 September 4; published 2012 September 19

### ABSTRACT

One of the strongest predictions of the  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmological model is the presence of dark satellites orbiting all types of galaxies. We focus here on the dynamical effects of such satellites on disk dwarf galaxies, and demonstrate that these encounters can be dramatic. Although mergers with  $M_{\text{sat}} > M_d$  are not very common, because of the lower baryonic content they occur much more frequently on the dwarf scale than for  $L_*$  galaxies. As an example, we present a numerical simulation of a 20% (virial) mass ratio merger between a dark satellite and a disk dwarf (akin to the Fornax dwarf galaxy in luminosity) that shows that the merger remnant has a spheroidal morphology. Perturbations by dark satellites thus provide a plausible path for the formation of dSph systems. The transition from disk to the often amorphous, irregular, or spheroidal morphologies of dwarfs could be a natural consequence of the dynamical heating of hitherto unobservable dark satellites.

**Key words:** dark matter – galaxies: dwarf – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: interactions

**Online-only material:** color figures

### 1. INTRODUCTION

According to the  $\Lambda$ CDM scenario, stellar disks are immersed in dark matter halos and are surrounded by a range of satellite companions. Encounters with these satellites can inject significant amounts of energy into the system, with consequences that vary from negligible to fully catastrophic disk destruction depending on the relative mass of the perturber and the configuration of the event (relative distances and velocities). Disk heating by such substructures has been addressed in previous work (Toth & Ostriker 1992; Quinn et al. 1993; Font et al. 2001; Benson et al. 2004), but has generally focused on the effect on bright Milky-Way-like galaxies.

Cold dark matter models predict the structure of halos to be self-similar; in such a way that, when properly scaled, a Milky Way sized halo looks comparable to one hosting a faint dwarf galaxy (Moore et al. 1999; Springel et al. 2008; Klimentowski et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2012). However, galaxy formation is not a self-similar process, as the properties of galaxies depend in a complex way on, e.g., the mass of their host halos. For example, low-mass (dwarf) galaxies are much more inefficient at forming stars (Blanton et al. 2001; Robertson & Kravtsov 2008) and have much higher mass-to-light ratios than larger galaxies (Yang et al. 2003; Walker et al. 2009). In addition, gas cooling is likely to be (nearly) completely inhibited in dark matter halos with masses below  $\sim 10^8 h^{-1} M_\odot$  (Kaufmann et al. 2007), which implies that the satellites of dwarfs should be generally completely dark in contrast to satellites in galaxy clusters or around  $L_*$  galaxies.

In this Letter, we show that these considerations imply that the dynamical perturbations of dark-matter satellites on dwarf galaxies are much more important than on  $L_*$  galaxies. Dark satellites may provide a channel for the formation of dwarf spheroidal galaxies without the need to resort to environmental effects (Mayer 2010) or multiple body interactions (Sales et al. 2007). Such interactions may also be responsible for the observed increase of disk “thickness” toward fainter galaxies

(Yoachim & Dalcanton 2006), as well as explain the existence of isolated dwarfs undergoing intense starbursts without an apparent trigger (Bergvall 2012) as a result of a major merger with a dark companion.


### 2. MODELS

Our goal is to quantify the effects of substructures on disk-like galaxies over a broader region of parameter space (and specifically mass range) than done in previous work. To this end we use the *Aquarius Simulations* (Springel et al. 2008), a suite of six cosmological simulations of Milky Way mass halos, in the resolution level 2 (with particle mass  $m_p \sim 10^4 M_\odot$ ). Within the simulations’ high-resolution regions (of  $\sim 3$  Mpc on a side) at the present day, we find the six Milky Way like objects and 733 additional *main* halos,<sup>6</sup> which together span a mass range  $10^8$ – $10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$ . We study their assembly history from  $t = 2$  Gyr onward ( $z \leq 3$ ), since by this time all halos in our sample have accreted at least 10% of their final mass, and the concept of “main/host” is well defined. We have identified substructures in these halos with the SUBFIND algorithm (Springel et al. 2001) and tracked their orbits by following the position of their most-bound particle.

We populate these dark matter halos with “galaxies” following a semi-analytic model that uses simple but physically motivated laws to track the evolution of gas cooling, star formation, and feedback processes (Li et al. 2010; Starkenburg et al. 2012). This allows us to derive their baryonic properties such as their gas content, stellar mass, etc. Our model simultaneously reproduces the luminosity function, scaling relations, and chemical content of bright as well as dwarf galaxies (for a more detailed description, see Starkenburg et al. 2012).

When a disk galaxy accretes a low-mass companion, it is (vertically) heated and puffed up. The increase in the scale height  $\Delta H$  for a disk of (total, i.e., stellar and gas) mass  $M_d$

<sup>6</sup> “Main” halos are central halos: they have their own system of satellites and are not satellites themselves.



**Figure 1.** Left: gas fraction  $f_{\text{gas}}$  (blue circles) and galaxy formation efficiency  $\eta_{\text{gal}}$  (red asterisks) as a function of host mass as predicted by our SA model. The dashed blue and solid red curves indicate the respective median trends. Right: the “spectrum of perturbers”  $N(>x)$  gives the number of encounters with objects of a given mass ratio  $x = M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}}$  (see the text for more details). The shaded regions correspond to the 25 and 75 percentiles and were derived from 100 random subsamples with each of the five host halos belonging to a given mass range (and are shown for clarity only for the two mass ranges). The black arrows indicate  $M_d/M_{\text{vir}}$  for three representative values of  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = 5\%$ , 30%, and 60%, while the thin vertical lines are the SUBFIND mass resolution.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

and scale length  $R_d$  caused by an interaction with a satellite of mass  $M_{\text{sat}}$  may be estimated using analytic arguments to be

$$\frac{\Delta H}{R_d} = \alpha(1 - f_{\text{gas}}) \frac{M_{\text{sat}}}{M_d} \quad (1)$$

(Toth & Ostriker 1992; Mo et al. 2010). Here,  $f_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}}/M_d$  is the gas fraction of the host disk and its inclusion in Equation (1) accounts for the energy that is radiated away and not transferred into random motions of disk stars (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2008). We have carried out a series of merger experiments on the scale of dwarfs (and used analogous simulations of large disks by Velazquez & White 1999; Villalobos & Helmi 2008; Purcell et al. 2009; Moster et al. 2010a), and confirm the above dependence on the ratio  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_d$ . We have found the proportionality constant to be  $\alpha \sim 0.03$  when the above expression is evaluated at  $R = 2.5R_d$ . Below we present two examples of such merger simulations and report our results in more detail in T. K. Starkenburg et al. (in preparation).

Equation (1) can be re-written in terms of the “disk galaxy efficiency” of a given halo:  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = M_d/(M_{\text{vir}} \times f_{\text{bar}})$ , i.e., the fraction of baryons collected in the central galaxy compared to the total available budget. Here,  $M_{\text{vir}}$  is the virial mass of the host halo and  $f_{\text{bar}} \sim 0.17$  is the universal baryon fraction. Therefore,

$$\frac{\Delta H}{R_d} = \frac{\alpha}{f_{\text{bar}}} \frac{(1 - f_{\text{gas}})}{\eta_{\text{gal}}} \frac{M_{\text{sat}}}{M_{\text{vir}}}. \quad (2)$$

Thus, three quantities affect the efficiency of disk heating: the gas fraction  $f_{\text{gas}}$ , the galaxy formation efficiency  $\eta_{\text{gal}}$ , and the mass of the perturber compared to that of the host  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}}$ . We now investigate each of these factors using our models.

The (blue) solid circles in the left panel of Figure 1 show  $f_{\text{gas}}$  as a function of host halo mass in the SA model. Note that the gas content of a galaxy depends strongly on the mass of its halo: for objects less massive than  $10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ , more than 90% of the baryonic mass assembled onto the central galaxy remains as


cold gas, revealing how inefficient star formation is in (isolated) dwarf galaxies. On the other hand, Milky Way sized objects have typically  $\sim 10\%$ – $20\%$  of their baryons in gas; all these numbers are in reasonably good agreement with observations (Geha et al. 2006; McGaugh et al. 2010).

The (red) asterisks in the left panel of Figure 1 show  $\eta_{\text{gal}}$  as function of halo mass. As indicated by the median trend (solid line), halos become increasingly inefficient in collecting baryons onto galaxies as they become less massive: for  $M_{\text{vir}} < 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ ,  $\eta_{\text{gal}} \sim 1\%$ – $10\%$ . This is the result of a combination of the effect of a UV ionizing background and of supernova feedback (Li et al. 2010; Macciò et al. 2010; Okamoto et al. 2010). These processes need to be taken into account to match the satellite luminosity function (Guo et al. 2010; Moster et al. 2010b), and explain why dwarf galaxies are the most dark matter dominated objects known in the universe (McGaugh et al. 2010).

The right panel of Figure 1 shows the cumulative subhalo mass function for our sample of main halos in the *Aquarius Simulations* for four different ranges of host mass. Since disk heating is expected to be more efficient for perturbers that venture close to the center of the host halo, we measure the subhalo mass at the first pericenter that is within a distance smaller than 30% of the virial radius of the host and normalize it to the virial mass of the host at that time. The thin vertical lines indicate the subhalo resolution, defined by the 20-particle threshold imposed by the SUBFIND algorithm.<sup>7</sup> Within the range that is well resolved (to the right of the vertical lines), we find that the mass spectra of satellites at pericenter are all comparable and independent of the virial mass of the host.

Because the efficiency of galaxy formation  $\eta_{\text{gal}}$  depends strongly on  $M_{\text{vir}}$  (see the left panel of Figure 1), at fixed gas

<sup>7</sup> Note that for the least massive host halos we are able to resolve fewer substructures than for Milky-Way-like hosts, and that the SUBFIND algorithm is known to underestimate the mass at pericenter, hence the above values are lower limits.



**Figure 2.** Relative increase in the disk’s thickness  $\Delta H/R_d$  as a function of the virial mass of the host computed using Equation (2) for all the main galaxies in the *Aquarius Simulations* (black dots). The (red) curve shows the median expected change for  $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1$ . All values have been normalized to the heating expected for an  $L_*$  galaxy, with  $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1$  and  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = 0.45$ . The scale on the right vertical axis indicates the absolute change in  $\Delta H/R_d$  assuming an encounter with an  $M_{\text{sat}} = 0.05 M_{\text{vir}}$  perturber.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

content the heating produced by satellites is expected to be significantly larger for small-mass hosts (halos with  $M_{\text{vir}} < 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ ) than for Milky-Way-like galaxies. To first order, this is hinted by the vertical arrows in the right panel of Figure 1. These arrows show the mass ratio  $M_d/M_{\text{vir}}$  for three different values of galaxy efficiency:  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = 5\%$ ,  $30\%$ , and  $60\%$ , and can be used as a guide to determine the number of encounters with satellites with  $M_{\text{sat}} \sim M_d$  for a system with a given efficiency. It then becomes clear that such encounters are much more common for dwarf galaxies, which have lower  $\eta_{\text{gal}}$ . For example, a dwarf galaxy ( $\eta_{\text{gal}} \sim 5\%$ ) experienced on average 1.5 encounters with an object of comparable mass in the last 11.7 Gyr. On the other hand, for a Milky-Way-like galaxy whose disk mass is  $\sim 10\%$  of the virial value ( $\sim 5\%$  for  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = 30\%$ ), the number of encounters with a significant perturber are a factor  $\sim 15$  less common. Note that these estimates are somewhat lower than derived in previous work for  $\sim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$  hosts (Purcell et al. 2009) and this could be due to the environment of the *Aquarius* halos.

### 3. RESULTS

Figure 2 shows, for our model galaxies,  $\Delta H/R_d$  as function of host halo mass, normalized to the values expected for a galaxy like the Milky Way (with  $\eta_{\text{gal}} = 0.45$  and  $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1$ ) and for fixed  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}}$ . The red curve indicates the expected change when the gas fraction is that of the Milky Way. This shows that for a dwarf galaxy populating a  $10^9 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$  halo, the heating of a disk is expected to be  $\sim 100$  times larger than for a galaxy like the Milky Way embedded in a  $10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$  halo. For example, even an encounter with a low-mass perturber ( $M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}} = 0.05$ ) would be devastating and turn a disk dwarf galaxy into a dwarf spheroidal since  $\Delta H/R_d \sim 2.7$  for  $M_{\text{vir}} = 10^9 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$  and  $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1$  according to Equation (2). On the other hand, the

effect of such an encounter would be nearly negligible in the case of a Milky-Way-like galaxy.

On the scale of Milky Way galaxies the heating is dominated by subhalos hosting stars, while around smaller hosts ( $M_{\text{vir}} < 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ ) the subhalos will generally be *dark* as they fall below the mass threshold imposed by reionization and efficient atomic hydrogen cooling to form stars. To confirm that such dark satellites leave imprints on the morphologies of dwarf galaxies, we have performed a set of numerical experiments. We focus here on two simulations where we varied the mass ratio between the disk and the satellite, but took  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}} = 0.2$  comparable to what has been used in previous work (Kazantzidis et al. 2008; Villalobos & Helmi 2008; Purcell et al. 2009; Moster et al. 2010a). The satellite follows a Navarro–Frenk–White profile with concentration  $c = 18.7$  (Muñoz-Cuartas et al. 2011). Our disk galaxies are purely stellar, they have  $M_d = 0.008$  and  $0.04 \times M_{\text{vir}}$ , and are embedded in a Hernquist halo with mass  $M_{\text{vir}} = 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ , and scale radius  $a = 9.3$  kpc, i.e.,  $\eta_{\text{gal}} \sim 5\%$  and  $23\%$ , respectively. The disks are radially exponential with scale length  $R_d = 0.67 h^{-1}$  kpc, and vertically they follow  $\text{sech}^2(z/2z_0)$ , with  $z_0 = 0.05 R_d$ . The internal kinematics are set up following Hernquist (1993), and the disks are stable (with Toomre parameters  $Q > 1$ ).


We put the satellite on a fairly radial orbit with  $r_{\text{apo}}/r_{\text{peri}} = 40$ , starting from a distance of  $\sim 23$  kpc, and found that it is completely disrupted after three close passages, i.e., in  $\sim 1.5$  Gyr. Figure 3 shows the final surface brightness profiles of the heavy and light disks in the top and bottom panels, respectively. This figure shows that significant heating has taken place and even led to important changes in the morphology of the host galaxy. This is expected since although we simulated minor mergers in terms of virial mass ratios, these are major mergers from the perspective of the dwarf galaxy, as  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_d = 5$  and  $25$ , respectively.

In the case of gas-rich systems, which are a majority at the low-mass end, encounters with dark satellites will be less efficient at changing the structure of the host dwarf galaxy because much of the orbital energy will be absorbed by the gas, leading to less vertical heating. However, we may expect that such encounters may induce star formation events, and thus, albeit indirectly, lead to significant changes in the characteristics of these galaxies.

To establish whether observations support that disks of dwarf galaxies are thicker than those of larger systems, we have compiled measurements of the thickness of *stellar* disks (quantified by the apparent axis ratio,  $b/a$ ) for a wide range of galaxy masses. Although the observed  $b/a$  is not a measurement of the intrinsic shape of the disk, if one assumes random orientations on the sky, the two are directly related. In our literature search, we have carefully selected *isolated late-type* galaxies to avoid any morphology–luminosity trend that may be driven by environmental interactions (such as discussed in Mayer 2010).


The top panel of Figure 4 shows the distribution of optical ( $r$  or  $R$  band)  $b/a$  as a function of circular velocity ( $V_c = W_{50}/2$ ). The latter provides a measure of the dynamical mass of the galaxy and its dark matter halo. We plot here data for two galaxy samples: HOPCAT (dots; Doyle et al. 2005) containing the optical counterparts of  $\sim 3600$  HIPASS sources, and for a set of isolated nearby late-type galaxies (asterisks; Karachentsev et al. 2004, tidal index  $\Theta < 0$ , RC3 morphological type  $> 0$ ). The (magenta) square shows the median value for a subsample of the 101 dwarf galaxies (Geha et al. 2006), where we have





**Figure 3.** Left panels show the initial surface brightness profiles for two of our simulated dwarf galaxies with  $M_d/M_{\text{vir}} = 0.008$  and  $0.04$  (bottom and top panels, respectively). The panels on the right correspond to the final stellar distributions after these disks merged with a dark satellite of mass  $M_{\text{sat}} = 0.2 M_{\text{vir}}$ , and are shown after 6 Gyr of evolution (i.e., well after the merger has taken place, so the system appears to be relaxed again).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)



**Figure 4.** Apparent optical axis ratios  $b/a$  for various samples of isolated, late-type galaxies from the literature. Top panel: HOPCAT (Doyle et al. 2005; black dots), a sample of nearby galaxies selected from Karachentsev et al. (2004; blue asterisks), and the median of the sample of dwarf galaxies presented by Geha et al. (2006; magenta square with vertical bar showing the 25%–75% percentiles). Bottom panel: edge-on galaxies from Yoachim & Dalcanton (2006). These are shown separately because the  $b/a$  corresponds to their true axis ratio, i.e.,  $z_0/R_d$ . The lines indicate, for each sample, the median  $b/a$  at a given circular velocity  $V_c$ .

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

selected only those objects with no companions within a 1 Mpc projected distance and  $(g - r) < 0.55$ . The bottom panel of this figure shows the intrinsic thickness defined as the ratio of scale height to scale length for a sample of edge-on disks (Yoachim & Dalcanton 2006).

The median  $b/a$  trends with galaxy circular velocity are indicated separately for each sample by the black solid (HOPCAT), blue dashed (Karachentsev et al. 2004), and red dotted (Yoachim & Dalcanton 2006) curves. Each set clearly shows that the axis ratios increase with decreasing circular velocities. In other words, stellar disks become thicker as we move toward less massive galaxies, in qualitative agreement with our expectations based on the analysis of disk heating by substructure on isolated galaxies (see also Sanchez-Janssen et al. 2010).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that the dynamical effects of dark satellites on disk dwarf galaxies are much more dramatic than on galaxies like the Milky Way. Mergers with  $M_{\text{sat}} > M_d$  are not very common for  $z < 3$  but they occur much more frequently than on the  $L_*$  galaxy scale. As an example, we have simulated a merger with  $M_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{vir}} = 0.2$  for a dwarf with  $M_d = 8 \times 10^7 h^{-1} M_\odot$  in stars, i.e., slightly more massive than the Fornax dwarf galaxy, and found that its morphology changed from disk to spheroidal. This might be a plausible path for the formation of dSph systems in isolation (and if the dwarf was gas poor, which is rare in our models but not unlikely). This channel might also be relevant for the dSph satellites of our Galaxy, provided such encounters would have taken place just before the system fell onto the potential well of the Milky Way (since further gas accretion would thus be prevented). Although we have mostly referred to mergers, close encounters might also lead to significant dynamical stirring and affect the morphologies of dwarf galaxies (see also Karachentsev et al. 2006).

Most of the galaxies on the scales of dwarfs are, however, gas rich. In that case, encounters with dark satellites can trigger starbursts, which might explain the presence of seemingly isolated dwarfs undergoing major star formation events without an apparent trigger. Depending on the characteristics of the

encounter, such starbursts will vary in amplitude. We are currently performing hydrodynamical simulations to characterize this process (T. K. Starkenburg et al., in preparation).

Additionally, other processes exist that can influence the morphologies of dwarf galaxies. For example, binary mergers between disk dwarfs can result in the formation of spheroidal systems (Kazantzidis et al. 2011), although such events are rare (see Figure 1). On the other hand, on the scale of dwarf galaxies, physical processes affecting gas may also lead to thicker systems. For example, the presence of a temperature floor in the interstellar medium at  $T \sim 10^4$  K introduced by, e.g., a UV background, implies that gas pressure becomes comparable to rotational support for small dark matter halos. Stars formed in such systems would thus be born in puffier configurations as demonstrated by Kaufmann et al. (2007; see also, e.g., Robertson & Kravtsov 2008).

Yet, we have shown here that a distinctive imprint on dwarf galaxies will be left by dark satellites in the context of the  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmological paradigm. Such *dark satellites* are expected to make the stellar disks of isolated dwarf galaxies significantly thicker than those of  $\sim L_*$  galaxies. We have indeed detected such a trend on three different observational samples of isolated late-type galaxies on the nearby universe. We may have identified a new mechanism to explain the morphologies of dwarf galaxies.

We are grateful to Volker Springel, Simon White, and Carlos Frenk for their generosity with respect to the use of the Aquarius simulations. We thank Marla Geha for making her data available in electronic form. E.S., L.V.S., and A.H. gratefully acknowledge financial support from NWO and ERC-Starting Grant GALACTICA-240271. E.S. is supported by the Cifar Junior Academy and a CITA National Fellowship. L.V.S. is grateful for financial support from the CosmoComp/Marie Curie network. G.D.L. acknowledges financial support from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under ERC grant agreement No. 202781.

## REFERENCES

- Benson, A. J., Lacey, C. G., Frenk, C. S., Baugh, C. M., & Cole, S. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 1215

- Bergvall, N. 2012, *Dwarf Galaxies: Keys to Galaxy Formation and Evolution* (Berlin: Springer)
- Blanton, M. R., Dalcanton, J., Eisenstein, D., et al. 2001, *AJ*, **121**, 2358
- Doyle, M. T., Drinkwater, M. J., Rohde, D. J., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, **361**, 34
- Font, A. S., Navarro, J. F., Stadel, J., & Quinn, T. 2001, *ApJ*, **563**, L1
- Geha, M., Blanton, M. R., Masjedi, M., & West, A. A. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 240
- Guo, Q., White, S., Li, C., & Boylan-Kolchin, M. 2010, *MNRAS*, **404**, 1111
- Hernquist, L. 1993, *ApJS*, **86**, 389
- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., Younger, J. D., & Besla, G. 2008, *ApJ*, **688**, 757
- Karachentsev, I. D., Karachentseva, V. E., & Huchtmeier, W. K. 2006, *A&A*, **451**, 817
- Karachentsev, I. D., Karachentseva, V. E., Huchtmeier, W. K., & Makarov, D. I. 2004, *AJ*, **127**, 2031
- Kaufmann, T., Wheeler, C., & Bullock, J. S. 2007, *MNRAS*, **382**, 1187
- Kazantzidis, S., Bullock, J. S., Zentner, A. R., Kravtsov, A. V., & Moustakas, L. A. 2008, *ApJ*, **688**, 254
- Kazantzidis, S., Lokas, E. L., Mayer, L., Knebe, A., & Klimontowski, J. 2011, *ApJ*, **740**, L24
- Klimontowski, J., Lokas, E. L., Knebe, A., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **402**, 1899
- Li, Y., De Lucia, G., & Helmi, A. 2010, *MNRAS*, **401**, 2036
- Macciò, A. V., Kang, X., Fontanot, F., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **402**, 1995
- Mayer, L. 2010, *Adv. Astron.*, **2010**, 278434
- McGaugh, S. S., Schombert, J. M., de Blok, W. J. G., & Zagursky, M. J. 2010, *ApJ*, **708**, L14
- Mo, H., van den Bosch, F. C., & White, S. 2010, *Galaxy Formation and Evolution* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Moore, B., Ghigna, S., Governato, F., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, **524**, L19
- Moster, B. P., Macciò, A. V., Somerville, R. S., Johansson, P. H., & Naab, T. 2010a, *MNRAS*, **403**, 1009
- Moster, B. P., Somerville, R. S., Maulbetsch, C., et al. 2010b, *ApJ*, **710**, 903
- Muñoz-Cuartas, J. C., Macciò, A. V., Gottlöber, S., & Dutton, A. A. 2011, *MNRAS*, **411**, 584
- Okamoto, T., Frenk, C. S., Jenkins, A., & Theuns, T. 2010, *MNRAS*, **406**, 208
- Purcell, C. W., Kazantzidis, S., & Bullock, J. S. 2009, *ApJ*, **694**, L98
- Quinn, P. J., Hernquist, L., & Fullagar, D. P. 1993, *ApJ*, **403**, 74
- Robertson, B. E., & Kravtsov, A. V. 2008, *ApJ*, **680**, 1083
- Sales, L. V., Navarro, J. F., Abadi, M. G., & Steinmetz, M. 2007, *MNRAS*, **379**, 1475
- Sanchez-Janssen, R., Mendez-Abreu, J., & Aguerri, J. A. L. 2010, *MNRAS*, **406**, L65
- Springel, V., Wang, J., Vogelsberger, M., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, 1685
- Springel, V., Yoshida, N., & White, S. D. M. 2001, *New Astron.*, **6**, 79
- Starkenburg, E., Helmi, A., De Lucia, G., et al. 2012, arXiv:1206.0020
- Toth, G., & Ostriker, J. P. 1992, *ApJ*, **389**, 5
- Velazquez, H., & White, S. D. M. 1999, *MNRAS*, **304**, 254
- Villalobos, Á., & Helmi, A. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, 1806
- Walker, M. G., Mateo, M., Olszewski, E. W., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **704**, 1274
- Wang, J., Frenk, C. S., Navarro, J. F., & Gao, L. 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 2715
- Yang, X., Mo, H. J., & van den Bosch, F. C. 2003, *MNRAS*, **339**, 1057
- Yoachim, P., & Dalcanton, J. J. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 226